

**Why some carbons may or may not graphitize? Interpreting graphitization data with a phenomenological model inspired from thermodynamics.**

*Ouzilleau, Philippe*<sup>1</sup>, Gheribi, Aïmen E.<sup>1</sup>, Chartrand, Patrice<sup>1</sup>, Gervais, Soucy<sup>2</sup>, Monthieux, Marc<sup>3</sup>

Presenting author's e-mail: [philippe.ouzilleau@polymtl.ca](mailto:philippe.ouzilleau@polymtl.ca)

<sup>1</sup> *Polytechnique Montreal, Canada*

<sup>2</sup> *Université de Sherbrooke, Canada*

<sup>3</sup> *Université de Toulouse, France*

**ABSTRACT TEXT**

Not all carbons graphitize in equal measure. Some develop (following heat treatment) a structure which approaches the one of perfect graphite (graphitizable carbons), while others will do not (non-graphitizable carbons). The present work develops a phenomenological model for the conceptual understanding of graphitizability (capacity to graphitize). To support this model, a mathematical formalism, partially inspired from thermodynamics, is proposed to calculate the Ultimate Graphitizability ( $\eta_g$ ) of some graphitizable and non-graphitizable carbon materials.  $\eta_g$  is the average interlayer spacing ( $d_{002}$ ) of a graphenic carbon following graphitization at  $\sim 3400$  K.  $\eta_g$  can be estimated assuming a topological graphitization mechanism operating between  $\sim 1700$  K and  $\sim 3400$  K. Two independent variables define  $\eta_g$ :  $d_{002}(T_\alpha)$  and  $d_{002}(T_\beta)$ .  $T_\alpha$  and  $T_\beta$  are arbitrarily selected temperatures between 1700K and 2550 K (the graphitization threshold). In order to better understand the parameters affecting  $d_{002}(T_\alpha)$  and  $d_{002}(T_\beta)$ , new carbonization/graphitization experimental results are presented. These suggest that  $d_{002}(T_\alpha)$  and  $d_{002}(T_\beta)$  are correlated to the oxygen/hydrogen composition ratio and the relative mesoscale crystallite orientation of some graphitizable carbons following the end of primary carbonization.